

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Bux 145 Alexandria, Arginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gbv

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/811,566                      | 03/29/2004                             | Jerome J. Cartmell   | EMS-07501           | 1387             |  |
| 52427<br>MURITEAD               | 7590 01/23/2007<br>AND SATURNELLI, LLC |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| 200 FRIBERG PARKWAY, SUITE 1001 |  |                      | VERBRUGGE, KEVIN    |                  |  |
| WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581           |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                 |  | •                    | 2189                |                  |  |
|                                 |  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                 |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                 |  |                      | 01/23/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/811,566      | CARTMELL ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
| Kevin Verbrugge | 2189            |  |

|  | Kevin Verbrugge  | 2189   |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.   |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)              |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   |  |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH  | g date of the final rejecti  | on.   |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date  | 06.07(f).  | 136(a) and the energy  | to automaion foo                            |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply oright<br>than three months after the mailing da                | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi                      | iate extension fee<br>ice action: or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be   | filed within two month   | ns of the date of                           |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | o avoid dismissal of th  | e appeal. Since                             |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | out prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered b  | ecause                                      |
| <ul><li>(a)   ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con</li></ul>   | nsideration and/or search (see NO  | TE below);   |   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  |  |  |   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or   |  |  | the issues for                              |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |  | ected claims.  |   |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  | • • •  |  | (570) 004                                   |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>   |  | Impliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                 |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | timely filed amandmy   | ont namealing the                           |
| non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  | -   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  | ided below or appended.  | ii be entered and an e   | expianation of                              |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10,12-15 and 17-20</u> .   |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but   | t hafara ar an tha data of filing a N  | latica of Annual will be   | at he entered                               |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affidar   | it or other evidence is  | s necessary and                             |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>  | vercome all rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa   | ils to provide a                            |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | •  | ` ' '  | •   |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  | •  |   |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa   | nce because:                                |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   | Join Vool  | XV  |
|  | /  | Kevin Verbrugge<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2189                    | <b>~</b> 0                                  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the independent claims would require further consideration..